1	Scott E. Gizer, Esq., Nevada Bar No. 12216 sgizer@earlysullivan.com				
2	Sophia S. Lau, Esq., Nevada Bar No. 13365				
3	slau@earlysullivan.com EARLY SULLIVAN WRIGHT				
4	GIZER & McRAE LLP 8716 Spanish Ridge Avenue, Suite 105				
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89148 Telephone: (702) 331-7593				
6	Facsimile: (702) 331-1652				
7	Kevin S. Sinclair, State Bar Number 12277 ksinclair@sinclairbraun.com				
8	SINCLAIR BRAUN LLP 16501 Ventura Blvd, Suite 400				
9	Encino, California 91436				
10	Telephone: (213) 429-6100 Facsimile: (213) 429-6101				
11	Attorneys for Defendants CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY.				
12	DESIGNATED LOCAL COUNSEL FOR SERVICE OF				
13	PROCESS ON SINCLAIR BRAUN LLP PER L.R. IA 11-1(b)				
14	Gary L. Compton, State Bar No. 1652 2950 E. Flamingo Road, Suite L Las Vegas, Nevada 89121				
15					
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
17	DISTRICT OF NEVADA				
18	THE BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON TRUST COMPANY,	Case No.: 2:20-cv-01394-JCM-BNW			
19	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND TIME PERIOD TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT [ECF No. 1]			
20	VS.				
21	CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY et al.,	[THIRD REQUEST]			
22	,				
23	Defendants.				
24	COMES NOW defendant Chicago Title Insurance Company ("Chicago Title") and				
25	plaintiff The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company ("BONY"), by and through their				
26	respective attorneys of record, which hereby agree and stipulate as follows:				
27	1. On July 27, 2020 BONY filed its complaint in the instant action. (ECF No. 1.);				
28	2. On August 21, 2020, the Court granted the Parties' stipulation to extend Chicago				

5 5. Chicago Title requests a two-week extension of its deadline to respon complaint, until October 21, 2020, to allow Chicago Title's counsel additional time to allegations of the complaint; 6. By entering into this stipulation Chicago Title does not waive any pot defenses under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12; 7. BONY does not oppose the requested extension; 8. This is the third request for an extension which is made in good faith purposes of delay; IT IS SO STIPULATED that Chicago Title's deadline to respond to the cord hereby extended through and including October 21, 2020. Dated: October 2, 2020 SINCLAIR BRAUN LLP By: /s/-Kevin S. Sinclair KEVIN S. SINCLAIR Attorneys for Defendants CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE Attorneys for Defendants CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE Delated: October 2, 2020 WRIGHT FINLAY & ZAK, LLP As the parties have pointed out, the Court has twice granted a stipulation for Chicago Title to respond to plaintiff's complaint. ECF Nos. 10 & 16. Each time, Chicago Title has cited its need for additional time to review the complaint. The parties' third stipulation is GRANTED. But if Chicago Title requires a 4th extension, the Court will require a more detailed explanation. 17. BONY does not oppose the requested extension; the court situation is stipulation for Chicago Title has cited its need for additional time to review the complaint. The parties' third stipulation is GRANTED. But if Chicago Title requires a 4th extension, the Court will require a more detailed explanation. BRENDA WEKSLER		1		
Chicago Title's deadline to respond to the Complaint (ECF No. 16); 4. Chicago Title's current deadline to respond to the Complaint is Octobes 5. Chicago Title requests a two-week extension of its deadline to respond complaint, until October 21, 2020, to allow Chicago Title's counsel additional time of allegations of the complaint; 6. By entering into this stipulation Chicago Title does not waive any pot defenses under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12; 7. BONY does not oppose the requested extension; 8. This is the third request for an extension which is made in good faith purposes of delay; IT IS SO STIPULATED that Chicago Title's deadline to respond to the combered through and including October 21, 2020. Dated: October 2, 2020 SINCLAIR BRAUN LLP By: /s/-Kevin S. Sinclair KEVIN S. S	1	Title's deadline to respond to the Complaint (ECF No. 10.);		
4 4. Chicago Title's current deadline to respond to the Complaint is October 5. Chicago Title requests a two-week extension of its deadline to respond complaint, until October 21, 2020, to allow Chicago Title's counsel additional time to allegations of the complaint; 6. By entering into this stipulation Chicago Title does not waive any pot defenses under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12; 7. BONY does not oppose the requested extension; 8. This is the third request for an extension which is made in good faith purposes of delay; IT IS SO STIPULATED that Chicago Title's deadline to respond to the cord hereby extended through and including October 21, 2020. Dated: October 2, 2020 SINCLAIR BRAUN LLP By: /s/-Kevin S. Sinclair KEVIN S. SINCLAIR Attorneys for Defendants CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE WRIGHT FINLAY & ZAK, LLP As the parties have pointed out, the Court has twice granted a stipulation for Chicago Title to respond to plaintiff's complaint. ECF Nos. 10 & 16. Each time, Chicago Title has cited its need for additional time to review the complaint. The parties' third stipulation is GRANTED. But if Chicago Title requires a 4th extension, the Court will require a more detailed explanation. BRENDA WERSLER	2	3.	On September 22, 2020, the	Court granted the Parties second stipulation to extend
5 5. Chicago Title requests a two-week extension of its deadline to respon complaint, until October 21, 2020, to allow Chicago Title's counsel additional time to allegations of the complaint; 6. By entering into this stipulation Chicago Title does not waive any pot defenses under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12; 7. BONY does not oppose the requested extension; 8. This is the third request for an extension which is made in good faith purposes of delay; IT IS SO STIPULATED that Chicago Title's deadline to respond to the cord hereby extended through and including October 21, 2020. Dated: October 2, 2020 SINCLAIR BRAUN LLP By: /s/-Kevin S. Sinclair KEVIN S. SINCLAIR Attorneys for Defendants CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE Attorneys for Defendants CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE Delated: October 2, 2020 WRIGHT FINLAY & ZAK, LLP As the parties have pointed out, the Court has twice granted a stipulation for Chicago Title to respond to plaintiff's complaint. ECF Nos. 10 & 16. Each time, Chicago Title has cited its need for additional time to review the complaint. The parties' third stipulation is GRANTED. But if Chicago Title requires a 4th extension, the Court will require a more detailed explanation. 17. BONY does not oppose the requested extension; the court situation is stipulation for Chicago Title has cited its need for additional time to review the complaint. The parties' third stipulation is GRANTED. But if Chicago Title requires a 4th extension, the Court will require a more detailed explanation. BRENDA WEKSLER	3	Chicago Title	Chicago Title's deadline to respond to the Complaint (ECF No. 16);	
complaint, until October 21, 2020, to allow Chicago Title's counsel additional time to allegations of the complaint; 6. By entering into this stipulation Chicago Title does not waive any pot defenses under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12; 7. BONY does not oppose the requested extension; 8. This is the third request for an extension which is made in good faith purposes of delay; IT IS SO STIPULATED that Chicago Title's deadline to respond to the cord hereby extended through and including October 21, 2020. Dated: October 2, 2020 SINCLAIR BRAUN LLP By: ///- Kevin S. Sinclair KEVIN S. SINCLAIR Attorneys for Defendants CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE WRIGHT FINLAY & ZAK, LLP As the parties have pointed out, the Court has twice granted a stipulation for Chicago Title to respond to plaintiff's complaint. ECF Nos. 10 & 16. Each time, Chicago Title has cited its need for additional time to review the complaint. The parties' third stipulation is GRANTED. But if Chicago Title requires a 4th extension, the Court will require a more detailed explanation. BRENDA WEKSLER	4	4.	Chicago Title's current deadline to respond to the Complaint is October 7, 2020;	
allegations of the complaint; 6. By entering into this stipulation Chicago Title does not waive any pot defenses under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12; 7. BONY does not oppose the requested extension; 8. This is the third request for an extension which is made in good faith purposes of delay; IT IS SO STIPULATED that Chicago Title's deadline to respond to the cord hereby extended through and including October 21, 2020. Dated: October 2, 2020 SINCLAIR BRAUN LLP By: /s/-Kevin S. Sinclair KEVIN S. SINCLAIR Attorneys for Defendants CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE Dated: October 2, 2020 WRIGHT FINLAY & ZAK, LLP As the parties have pointed out, the Court has twice granted a stipulation for Chicago Title to respond to plaintiff's complaint. ECF Nos. 10 & 16. Each time, Chicago Title has cited its need for additional time to review the complaint. The parties' third stipulation is GRANTED. But if Chicago Title requires a 4th extension, the Court will require a more detailed explanation. BY: /s/-Lindsay D. Robbins LINDSAY D. ROBBINS Attorneys for Plaintiff THE BANK OF NEW YORK MIT TRUST COMPANY SORDERED. BRENDA WEKSLER	5	5.	Chicago Title requests a two-week extension of its deadline to respond to BONY's	
6. By entering into this stipulation Chicago Title does not waive any pot defenses under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12; 7. BONY does not oppose the requested extension; 8. This is the third request for an extension which is made in good faith purposes of delay; IT IS SO STIPULATED that Chicago Title's deadline to respond to the cor hereby extended through and including October 21, 2020. Dated: October 2, 2020 SINCLAIR BRAUN LLP By: /s/-Kevin S. Sinclair KEVIN S. SINCLAIR Attorneys for Defendants CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE As the parties have pointed out, the Court has twice granted a stipulation for Chicago Title to respond to plaintiff's complaint. ECF Nos. 10 & 16. Each time, Chicago Title has cited its need for additional time to review the complaint. The parties' third stipulation is GRANTED. But if Chicago Title requires a 4th extension, the Court will require a more detailed explanation. By: /s/-Lindsay D. Robbins LINDSAY D. ROBBINS Attorneys for Plaintiff THE BANK OF NEW YORK MITTRUST COMPANY TITLE BOORDERED. BRENDA WEKSLER	6	complaint, un	mplaint, until October 21, 2020, to allow Chicago Title's counsel additional time to review the	
defenses under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12; 7. BONY does not oppose the requested extension; 8. This is the third request for an extension which is made in good faith purposes of delay; IT IS SO STIPULATED that Chicago Title's deadline to respond to the cord hereby extended through and including October 21, 2020. Dated: October 2, 2020 SINCLAIR BRAUN LLP By: **S-Kevin S. Sinclair** KEVIN S. SINCLAIR Attorneys for Defendants CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE COurt has twice granted a stipulation for Chicago Title to respond to plaintiff's complaint. ECF Nos. 10 & 16. Each time, Chicago Title has cited its need for additional time to review the complaint. The parties' third stipulation is GRANTED. But if Chicago Title requires a 4th extension, the Court will require a more detailed explanation. BRENDA WEKSLER	7	allegations of the complaint;		
7. BONY does not oppose the requested extension; 8. This is the third request for an extension which is made in good faith purposes of delay; IT IS SO STIPULATED that Chicago Title's deadline to respond to the cord hereby extended through and including October 21, 2020. Dated: October 2, 2020 SINCLAIR BRAUN LLP By:/s/-Kevin S. Sinclair	8	6.	By entering into this stipulation Chicago Title does not waive any potential	
8. This is the third request for an extension which is made in good faith purposes of delay; IT IS SO STIPULATED that Chicago Title's deadline to respond to the cord hereby extended through and including October 21, 2020. Dated: October 2, 2020 By: /s/-Kevin S. Sinclair KEVIN S. SINCLAIR Attorneys for Defendants CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE As the parties have pointed out, the Court has twice granted a stipulation for Chicago Title to respond to plaintiff's complaint. ECF Nos. 10 & 16. Each time, Chicago Title has cited its need for additional time to review the complaint. The parties' third stipulation is GRANTED. But if Chicago Title requires a 4th extension, the Court will require a more detailed explanation. BY: /s/-Lindsay D. Robbins LINDSAY D. ROBBINS Attorneys for Plaintiff THE BANK OF NEW YORK MITTRUST COMPANY TRUST COMPANY IT IS SO ORDERED.	9	defenses under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12;		
purposes of delay; IT IS SO STIPULATED that Chicago Title's deadline to respond to the cord hereby extended through and including October 21, 2020. Dated: October 2, 2020 SINCLAIR BRAUN LLP By: _/s/-Kevin S. Sinclair KEVIN S. SINCLAIR Attorneys for Defendants CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE Dated: October 2, 2020 WRIGHT FINLAY & ZAK, LLP As the parties have pointed out, the Court has twice granted a stipulation for Chicago Title to respond to plaintiff's complaint. ECF Nos. 10 & 16. Each time, Chicago Title has cited its need for additional time to review the complaint. The parties' third stipulation is GRANTED. But if Chicago Title requires a 4th extension, the Court will require a more detailed explanation. TI IS SO ORDERED. BRENDA WEKSLER	10	7.	BONY does not oppose the r	requested extension;
IT IS SO STIPULATED that Chicago Title's deadline to respond to the cord hereby extended through and including October 21, 2020. Dated: October 2, 2020 By:	11	8.	This is the third request for a	an extension which is made in good faith and not for
hereby extended through and including October 21, 2020. Dated: October 2, 2020 By: /s/-Kevin S. Sinclair KEVIN S. SINCLAIR Attorneys for Defendants CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE Dated: October 2, 2020 As the parties have pointed out, the Court has twice granted a stipulation for Chicago Title to respond to plaintiff's complaint. ECF Nos. 10 & 16. Each time, Chicago Title has cited its need for additional time to review the complaint. The parties' third stipulation is GRANTED. But if Chicago Title requires a 4th extension, the Court will require a more detailed explanation. By: /s/-Lindsay D. Robbins LINDSAY D. ROBBINS Attorneys for Plaintiff THE BANK OF NEW YORK MI TRUST COMPANY IT IS SO ORDERED.	12	purposes of delay;		
Dated: October 2, 2020 By:	13	IT IS SO STIPULATED that Chicago Title's deadline to respond to the complaint is		
By: /s/-Kevin S. Sinclair KEVIN S. SINCLAIR Attorneys for Defendants CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE Dated: October 2, 2020 As the parties have pointed out, the Court has twice granted a stipulation for Chicago Title to respond to plaintiff's complaint. ECF Nos. 10 & 16. Each time, Chicago Title has cited its need for additional time to review the complaint. The parties' third stipulation is GRANTED. But if Chicago Title requires a 4th extension, the Court will require a more detailed explanation. By: /s/-Lindsay D. Robbins LINDSAY D. ROBBINS Attorneys for Defendants CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE By: /s/-Lindsay D. Robbins LINDSAY D. ROBBINS Attorneys for Defendants CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE By: /s/-Lindsay D. Robbins LINDSAY D. ROBBINS Attorneys for Defendants CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE By: /s/-Lindsay D. Robbins LINDSAY D. ROBBINS Attorneys for Plaintiff THE BANK OF NEW YORK MIT TRUST COMPANY IT IS SO ORDERED. BRENDA WEKSLER	14	hereby extended through and including October 21, 2020.		
By: /s/-Kevin S. Sinclair KEVIN S. SINCLAIR Attorneys for Defendants CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE WRIGHT FINLAY & ZAK, LLP As the parties have pointed out, the Court has twice granted a stipulation for Chicago Title to respond to plaintiff's complaint. ECF Nos. 10 & 16. Each time, Chicago Title has cited its need for additional time to review the complaint. The parties' third stipulation is GRANTED. But if Chicago Title requires a 4th extension, the Court will require a more detailed explanation. By: /s/-Lindsay D. Robbins LINDSAY D. ROBBINS Attorneys for Plaintiff THE BANK OF NEW YORK MI TRUST COMPANY IT IS SO ORDERED. BRENDA WEKSLER	15	Dated: Octob	er 2, 2020	SINCLAIR BRAUN LLP
KEVIN S. SINCLAIR Attorneys for Defendants CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE WRIGHT FINLAY & ZAK, LLP As the parties have pointed out, the Court has twice granted a stipulation for Chicago Title to respond to plaintiff's complaint. ECF Nos. 10 & 16. Each time, Chicago Title has cited its need for additional time to review the complaint. The parties' third stipulation is GRANTED. But if Chicago Title requires a 4th extension, the Court will require a more detailed explanation. IT IS SO ORDERED. BRENDA WEKSLER	16			
Attorneys for Defendants CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE Dated: October 2, 2020 As the parties have pointed out, the Court has twice granted a stipulation for Chicago Title to respond to plaintiff's complaint. ECF Nos. 10 & 16. Each time, Chicago Title has cited its need for additional time to review the complaint. The parties' third stipulation is GRANTED. But if Chicago Title requires a 4th extension, the Court will require a more detailed explanation. Attorneys for Defendants CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE WRIGHT FINLAY & ZAK, LLP By: /s/-Lindsay D. Robbins LINDSAY D. ROBBINS Attorneys for Plaintiff THE BANK OF NEW YORK MITTRUST COMPANY IT IS SO ORDERED. BRENDA WEKSLER	17			
Dated: October 2, 2020 As the parties have pointed out, the Court has twice granted a stipulation for Chicago Title to respond to plaintiff's complaint. ECF Nos. 10 & 16. Each time, Chicago Title has cited its need for additional time to review the complaint. The parties' third stipulation is GRANTED. But if Chicago Title requires a 4th extension, the Court will require a more detailed explanation. WRIGHT FINLAY & ZAK, LLP By: /s/-Lindsay D. Robbins LINDSAY D. ROBBINS Attorneys for Plaintiff THE BANK OF NEW YORK MIT TRUST COMPANY IT IS SO ORDERED. BRENDA WEKSLER				
As the parties have pointed out, the Court has twice granted a stipulation for Chicago Title to respond to plaintiff's complaint. ECF Nos. 10 & 16. Each time, Chicago Title has cited its need for additional time to review the complaint. The parties' third stipulation is GRANTED. But if Chicago Title requires a 4th extension, the Court will require a more detailed explanation. IT IS SO ORDERED. BRENDA WEKSLER		Dated: Octob	er 2, 2020	WRIGHT FINLAY & ZAK, LLP
for Chicago Title to respond to plaintiff's complaint. ECF Nos. 10 & 16. Each time, Chicago Title has cited its need for additional time to review the complaint. The parties' third stipulation is GRANTED. But if Chicago Title requires a 4th extension, the Court will require a more detailed explanation. By: /s/-Lindsay D. Robbins LINDSAY D. ROBBINS Attorneys for Plaintiff THE BANK OF NEW YORK MI TRUST COMPANY IT IS SO ORDERED. BRENDA WEKSLER		As the parties have pointed out, the		
Each time, Chicago Title has cited its need for additional time to review the complaint. The parties' third stipulation is GRANTED. But if Chicago Title requires a 4th extension, the Court will require a more detailed explanation. EINDSAY D. ROBBINS Attorneys for Plaintiff THE BANK OF NEW YORK MITRUST COMPANY IT IS SO ORDERED. BRENDA WEKSLER		for Chicago Title to respond to By: /s/-Lindsay D. Robbins		
need for additional time to review the complaint. The parties' third stipulation is GRANTED. But if Chicago Title requires a 4th extension, the Court will require a more detailed explanation. THE BANK OF NEW YORK MITRUST COMPANY TRUST COMPANY IT IS SO ORDERED. BRENDA WEKSLER		-	-	
stipulation is GRANTED. But if Chicago Title requires a 4th extension, the Court will require a more detailed explanation. IT IS SO ORDERED. BRENDA WEKSLER		need for add	tional time to review the	THE BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON TRUST COMPANY
the Court will require a more detailed explanation. IT IS SO ORDERED. BRENDA WEKSLER		stipulation is	GRANTED. But if	
explanation. 27 BRENDA WEKSLER		_	-	
BRENDA WEKSLER	20			A LILERO
				BRENDA WEKSLER UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

